arXiv:1006.3266v1 [math.RA] 16 Jun 2010

Finitely Presented Monoids and Algebras defined by Permutation Relations of Abelian Type

Ferran Cedó* Eric Jespers† Georg Klein

Abstract

The class of finitely presented algebras over a field K with a set of generators $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ and defined by homogeneous relations of the form $a_1a_2\cdots a_n = a_{\sigma(1)}a_{\sigma(2)}\cdots a_{\sigma(n)}$, where σ runs through an abelian subgroup H of Sym_n, the symmetric group, is considered. It is proved that the Jacobson radical of such algebras is zero. Also it is characterized when the monoid $S_n(H)$, with the "same" presentation as the algebra, is cancellative in terms of the stabilizer of 1 and the stabilizer of n in H. This work is a continuation of earlier work of Cedó, Jespers and Okniński.

Keywords: semigroup ring, finitely presented, semigroup, Jacobson radical, semiprimitive, primitive.
Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 16S15, 16S36, 20M05; Secondary 20M25, 16N20.

1 Introduction

In recent literature a lot of attention is given to concrete classes of finitely presented algebras A over a field K defined by homogeneous semigroup relations, that is, relations of the form $w = v$, where w and v are words of the same length in a generating set of the algebra. In [2, 3, 4] the study of the following finitely presented algebras over a field K is initiated:

$$A = K\langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \mid a_1a_2\cdots a_n = a_{\sigma(1)}a_{\sigma(2)}\cdots a_{\sigma(n)},\ \sigma \in H\rangle,$$

where H is a subset of the symmetric group Sym_n of degree n. Note that A is the semigroup algebra $K[S_n(H)]$, where

$$S_n(H) = \langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \mid a_1a_2\cdots a_n = a_{\sigma(1)}a_{\sigma(2)}\cdots a_{\sigma(n)},\ \sigma \in H\rangle$$

is the monoid with the "same" presentation as the algebra. In [2], the case being treated is that of the cyclic subgroup H of Sym_n generated by $\sigma = (1, 2, \ldots, n)$.

---

[*] Research partially supported by grants of MICIIN-FEDER (Spain) MTM2008-06201-C02-01, Generalitat de Catalunya 2009 SGR 1389.

[†] Research supported in part by Onderzoeksraad of Vrije Universiteit Brussel and Fonds voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (Belgium).

In [3] one deals with $H = \mathrm{Alt}_n$, the alternating group, and in [4] the special and more complicated case of Alt_4 is handled. There are noteworthy differences between these cases. In particular, the Jacobson radical $J(K[S_n(\mathrm{Alt}_n)])$ of $K[S_n(\mathrm{Alt}_n)]$ is zero only if n is even and K has characteristic different from 2, and otherwise the radical has been described, while $J(K[S_n(\langle\sigma\rangle)])$ is always zero. The latter is a consequence of the fact that $S_n(\langle\sigma\rangle)$ has a group of fractions $G = S_n\langle a_1 \cdots a_n\rangle^{-1} \cong F \times C$, where $F = gr(a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1})$ is a free group of rank $n-1$ and $C = gr(a_1 \cdots a_n)$ is a cyclic infinite group.

Starting from the properties considered in the above mentioned papers, the aim of this paper is to investigate the properties of the algebra $K[S_n(H)]$ for any abelian subgroup H of Sym_n. In particular, we prove that $J(K[S_n(H)])$ is always zero and we give infinitely many examples of primitive ideals of $K[S_n(H)]$ for $n \geq 3$ and H abelian subgroup of Sym_n such that $(1, 2, \ldots, n) \notin H$. Also we show that $S_n(H)$ is a cancellative monoid if and only if the stabilizers of 1 and of n in H are trivial subgroups of H.

2 Preliminary results about $S_n(H)$

The following two results display technical properties of $S_n(H)$ which will be crucial for our investigations of $S_n(H)$ and $K[S_n(H)]$.

Let H be a subset of Sym_n and $S = S_n(H) = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_n \mid a_1 \cdots a_n = a_{\sigma(1)} \cdots a_{\sigma(n)},\ \sigma \in H\rangle$. We denote by z the element $z = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in S$. Let $\mathrm{FM}_n = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n\rangle$ be the free monoid of rank n and let $\pi : \mathrm{FM}_n \to S$ be the unique morphism such that $\pi(x_i) = a_i$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Let $w = x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_m}$ be a nontrivial word in the free monoid FM_n. Let $1 \leq p, q \leq m$ and r, s be nonnegative integers such that $p+r, q+s \leq m$. We say that the subwords $w_1 = x_{i_p} x_{i_{p+1}} \cdots x_{i_{p+r}}$ and $w_2 = x_{i_q} x_{i_{q+1}} \cdots x_{i_{q+s}}$ overlap in w if either $p \leq q \leq p+r$ or $q \leq p \leq q+s$. For example, in the word $x_2 x_2 x_3 x_1 x_4$ the subwords $x_2 x_3 x_1$ and $x_3 x_1 x_4$ overlap and the subwords $x_2 x_2$ and $x_3 x_1$ do not overlap. If $p \leq q \leq p+r \leq q+s$, then we say that the length of the overlap between the subwords w_1 and w_2 is $p+r-q+1$. If $p \leq q \leq q+s \leq p+r$, then we say that the length of the overlap between the subwords w_1 and w_2 is $s+1$. For example, the length of the overlap between the subwords $x_2 x_3 x_1$ and $x_3 x_1 x_4$ in $x_2 x_2 x_3 x_1 x_4$ is 2.

We denote by $|w|$ the length of the word $w \in \mathrm{FM}_n$.

For, $1 \leq i \leq n$ and H any subgroup of Sym_n, we denote by H_i the stabilizer of i in H. Thus $H_i = \{\sigma \in H \mid \sigma(i) = i\}$. The identity map in Sym_n we denote by id.

Lemma 2.1 *Let H be an abelian subgroup of* Sym_n. *Let* $w_1, w_2, w_{1,1}, w_{1,2}, w_{1,3}, w'_{1,1}, w'_{1,2}, w'_{1,3}, w_{2,2}, w'_{2,1}, w'_{2,2}, w'_{2,3} \in \mathrm{FM}_n$ *be such that*

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= w_{1,1} w_{1,2} w_{1,3} = w'_{1,1} w'_{1,2} w'_{1,3}, \\ w_2 &= w'_{1,1} w_{2,2} w'_{1,3} = w'_{2,1} w'_{2,2} w'_{2,3} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

and $\pi(w_{1,2}) = \pi(w'_{1,2}) = \pi(w_{2,2}) = \pi(w'_{2,2}) = z$.

- *(i) If* $w_{1,2}$ *and* $w'_{1,2}$ *overlap in* w_1*,* $w_{2,2}$ *and* $w'_{2,2}$ *overlap in* w_2*,* $|w_{1,3}|, |w'_{2,3}| < |w'_{1,3}|$ *and* $H_n = \{\mathrm{id}\}$*, then* $w_1 = w_2$*.*
- *(ii) If* $w_{1,2}$ *and* $w'_{1,2}$ *overlap in* w_1*,* $w_{2,2}$ *and* $w'_{2,2}$ *overlap in* w_2*,* $|w_{1,1}|, |w'_{2,1}| < |w'_{1,1}|$ *and* $H_1 = \{\mathrm{id}\}$*, then* $w_1 = w_2$*.*

Proof. (i) Since $w_{1,2}$ and $w'_{1,2}$ overlap in w_1 and $|w_{1,3}| < |w'_{1,3}|$, we have that $0 < |w'_{1,3}| - |w_{1,3}| < n$. Since $w_{2,2}$ and $w'_{2,2}$ overlap in w_2 and $|w'_{2,3}| < |w'_{1,3}|$, we have that $0 < |w'_{1,3}| - |w'_{2,3}| < n$. Thus, there exist $u, v \in \mathrm{FM}_n$ such that

$$w'_{1,2}w'_{1,3} = uw_{1,2}w_{1,3} \qquad \text{and} \qquad w_{2,2}w'_{1,3} = vw'_{2,2}w'_{2,3}. \tag{2}$$

Suppose that the length of the overlap between $w_{1,2}$ and $w'_{1,2}$ in w_1 is i. Then there exist $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in H$ such that

$$uw_{1,2} = x_{\sigma_1(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma_1(n)} x_{\sigma_2(i+1)} \cdots x_{\sigma_2(n)},$$

with

$$\sigma_1(n-i+1) = \sigma_2(1),\ \sigma_1(n-i+2) = \sigma_2(2),\ \ldots,\ \sigma_1(n) = \sigma_2(i), \tag{3}$$

and $x_{\sigma_2(i+1)} \cdots x_{\sigma_2(n)} w_{1,3} = w'_{1,3}$. Note that $|u| = n - i$.

Suppose that the length of the overlap between $w_{2,2}$ and $w'_{2,2}$ in w_2 is j. Then there exist $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in H$ such that

$$vw'_{2,2} = x_{\tau_1(1)} \cdots x_{\tau_1(n)} x_{\tau_2(j+1)} \cdots x_{\tau_2(n)},$$

with

$$\tau_1(n-j+1) = \tau_2(1),\ \tau_1(n-j+2) = \tau_2(2),\ \ldots, \tau_1(n) = \tau_2(j), \tag{4}$$

and $x_{\tau_2(j+1)} \cdots x_{\tau_2(n)} w'_{2,3} = w'_{1,3}$.

From (2) we obtain that $|u| + |w_{1,2}| + |w_{1,3}| = |w'_{1,2}| + |w'_{1,3}|$. Hence, $|u| = |w'_{1,3}| - |w_{1,3}|$ and therefore $0 < i = n - (|w'_{1,3}| - |w_{1,3}|) < n$. Similarly, $0 < j = n - (|w'_{1,3}| - |w'_{2,3}|) < n$.

Suppose that $1 \leq i \leq j < n$. In this case, we have from (4) that $\tau_2(i) = \tau_1(n-j+i)$ and, since $\sigma_2(i) = \sigma_1(n)$, we get

$$i = \sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1(n) = \tau_2^{-1}\tau_1(n-j+i).$$

Since H is abelian, we thus obtain

$$\tau_2(n) = \tau_1\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2(n-j+i).$$

As $w'_{1,3} = x_{\sigma_2(i+1)} \cdots x_{\sigma_2(n)} w_{1,3} = x_{\tau_2(j+1)} \cdots x_{\tau_2(n)} w'_{2,3}$ and $i \leq j$, we have that $\tau_2(n) = \sigma_2(n-j+i)$. Hence

$$\tau_2(n) = \tau_1\sigma_1^{-1}\tau_2(n).$$

Because, by assumption, $H_n = \{\mathrm{id}\}$, we get that $\tau_2 = \tau_1\sigma_1^{-1}\tau_2$ and thus $\tau_1 = \sigma_1$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= w'_{1,1}w'_{1,2}w'_{1,3} = w'_{1,1}x_{\sigma_1(1)}\cdots x_{\sigma_1(n)}w'_{1,3} \\ &= w'_{1,1}x_{\tau_1(1)}\cdots x_{\tau_1(n)}w'_{1,3} = w'_{1,1}w_{2,2}w'_{1,3} \\ &= w_2. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose now that $1 \leq j < i < n$. In this case, from (3) we have $\sigma_2(j) = \sigma_1(n-i+j)$ and, since $\tau_2(j) = \tau_1(n)$, we get

$$j = \sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1(n-i+j) = \tau_2^{-1}\tau_1(n).$$

As H is abelian, we have

$$\sigma_2(n) = \sigma_1\tau_1^{-1}\tau_2(n-i+j).$$

Because $w'_{1,3} = x_{\sigma_2(i+1)}\cdots x_{\sigma_2(n)}w_{1,3} = x_{\tau_2(j+1)}\cdots x_{\tau_2(n)}w'_{2,3}$ and $i > j$, we have that $\sigma_2(n) = \tau_2(n-i+j)$. Hence

$$\sigma_2(n) = \sigma_1\tau_1^{-1}\sigma_2(n).$$

Since, by assumption, $H_n = \{\mathrm{id}\}$, we obtain that $\tau_1 = \sigma_1$. Thus, also in this case, $w_1 = w_2$. Therefore part (i) follows.

Part (ii) of the lemma follows by symmetry. Or alternatively, the opposite monoid S^{opp} is a monoid of the same type as S, where we replace the element $z = a_1 \cdots a_n$ by the element $a_n \cdots a_1$. Hence, if $H_1 = \{\mathrm{id}\}$ then (i) holds for S^{opp} and thus (ii) holds for S. Recall that as a set S^{opp} is S but multiplication $\cdot$ in S^{opp} is defined by $s_1 \cdot s_2 = s_2 s_1$, where the latter is the product in S. ∎

Let

$$A = \{x_{\sigma(n-1)}x_{\sigma(n)} \mid \sigma \in H\} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \tilde{A} = \{x_{\sigma(1)}x_{\sigma(2)} \mid \sigma \in H\}. \tag{5}$$

Lemma 2.2 *Let H be an abelian subgroup of* Sym_n *such that* $(1, 2, \ldots, n) \notin H$.

(i) If $H_n = \{\mathrm{id}\}$ and if $w \in \mathrm{FM}_n$ is such that $\pi(wx_ix_j) \in Sz$ for some $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, then $x_ix_j \in A$.

(ii) If $H_1 = \{\mathrm{id}\}$ and if $v \in \mathrm{FM}_n$ is such that $\pi(x_ix_jv) \in zS$ for some $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, then $x_ix_j \in \tilde{A}$.

Proof. (i) Suppose that the result is false. Let $w \in \mathrm{FM}_n$ and $1 \leq i_0, j_0 \leq n$ such that $\pi(wx_{i_0}x_{j_0}) \in Sz$ and $x_{i_0}x_{j_0} \notin A$. Hence there exist $w_{0,1}$, $w_{0,2} \in \mathrm{FM}_n$ such that $\pi(w_{0,1}w_{0,2}) = \pi(wx_{i_0}x_{j_0})$ and $\pi(w_{0,2}) = z$. Thus there exist $w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_t \in \mathrm{FM}_n$ such that $w_0 = w_{0,1}w_{0,2}$, $w_t = wx_{i_0}x_{j_0}$,

$$\pi(w_0) = \pi(w_1) = \ldots = \pi(w_t) = \pi(wx_{i_0}x_{j_0}) \tag{6}$$

and there exist $w_{i,2} \in \mathrm{FM}_n$, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$, and $w'_{j,1}, w'_{j,2}, w'_{j,3} \in \mathrm{FM}_n$, for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, t-1$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&w_0 = w_{0,1}w_{0,2} = w'_{0,1}w'_{0,2}w'_{0,3},\\
&w_k = w'_{k-1,1}w_{k,2}w'_{k-1,3} = w'_{k,1}w'_{k,2}w'_{k,3}\ , \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \ldots, t-1,\\
&w_t = w'_{t-1,1}w_{t,2}w'_{t-1,3},\\
&\pi(w_{i,2}) = \pi(w'_{j,2}) = z,
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

for all $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, t$ and for all $j = 0, 1, \ldots, t-1$.

We choose a sequence $w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_t$, with a decomposition (7), such that $w_k = x_{k(1)}x_{k(2)} \cdots x_{k(n+m)}$, for all $k = 0, 1, \ldots, t$, and $x_{t(n+m-1)}x_{t(n+m)} \notin A$, with t minimal. By the minimality of t, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&x_{k(n+m-1)}x_{k(n+m)} \in A \text{ for all } k = 1, 2, \ldots, t-1,\\
&x_{t(n+m-1)}x_{t(n+m)} \notin A,\\
&\pi(x_{k(m+1)}x_{k(m+2)} \cdots x_{k(m+n)}) \neq z \text{ for all } k = 1, 2, \ldots, t.
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Since $\pi(w_{0,2}) = z$ and $\pi(x_{1(m+1)}x_{1(m+2)} \cdots x_{1(m+n)}) \neq z$, we have that $1 \leq |w'_{0,3}| < n$. Note that then the subwords $w_{0,2}$ and $w'_{0,2}$ overlap in w_0.

Suppose that $t = 1$. In this case, since $\pi(x_{0(m+1)}x_{0(m+2)} \cdots x_{0(m+n)}) = z$ and $x_{1(n+m-1)}x_{1(n+m)} \notin A$, we have that $|w'_{0,3}| = 1$. Hence $w'_{0,3} = x_{0(m+n)}$ and

$$x_{0(m+n)}w_{0,2} = w'_{0,2}x_{0(m+n)}.$$

Thus there exist $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in H$ such that

$$x_{0(m+n)}x_{\tau_1(1)}x_{\tau_1(2)} \cdots x_{\tau_1(n)} = x_{\tau_2(1)}x_{\tau_2(2)} \cdots x_{\tau_2(n)}x_{0(m+n)}.$$

Therefore

$$\tau_1(1) = \tau_2(2), \tau_1(2) = \tau_2(3), \ldots, \tau_1(n-1) = \tau_2(n), \tau_1(n) = 0(m+n) = \tau_2(1).$$

Hence $\tau_2^{-1}\tau_1 = (1, 2, \ldots, n)$, in contradiction with the assumption. Therefore $t > 1$.

Claim: $0 < |w'_{j,3}| - |w'_{j-1,3}| < n$ for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots, t-1$.

Suppose that the claim is false. Let $r \in \{1, \ldots, t-1\}$ be the smallest value such that either $|w'_{r,3}| \leq |w'_{r-1,3}|$ or $|w'_{r,3}| - |w'_{r-1,3}| \geq n$.

Suppose that $|w'_{r,3}| \leq |w'_{r-1,3}|$.

If $|w'_{r,3}| = |w'_{r-1,3}|$, then, since $w_r = w'_{r-1,1}w_{r,2}w'_{r-1,3} = w'_{r,1}w'_{r,2}w'_{r,3}$, we have that $w'_{r-1,3} = w'_{r,3}$ and $w'_{r-1,1} = w'_{r,1}$. Hence, in this case, the sequence $w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_{r-1}, w_{r+1}, w_{r+2}, \ldots, w_t$ is a shorter sequence with a decomposition of type (7), in contradiction with the minimality of t. Hence $|w'_{r,3}| < |w'_{r-1,3}|$.

If $|w'_{r-1,3}| - |w'_{r,3}| < n$, then $w_{r,2}$ and $w'_{r,2}$ overlap in w_r. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_{r-1} &= w'_{r-2,1}w_{r-1,2}w'_{r-2,3} = w'_{r-1,1}w'_{r-1,2}w'_{r-1,3}, \\ w_r &= w'_{r-1,1}w_{r,2}w'_{r-1,3} = w'_{r,1}w'_{r,2}w'_{r,3}, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

(here, if $r = 1$, we agree that $w'_{r-2,1} = w_{0,1}$ and $w'_{r-2,3} = 1$). Since $0 < |w'_{r-1,3}| - |w'_{r-2,3}| < n$, we have that $w_{r-1,2}$ and $w'_{r-1,2}$ overlap in w_{r-1}. Since $w_{r,2}$ and $w'_{r,2}$ also overlap in w_r and $|w'_{r-2,3}|, |w'_{r,3}| < |w'_{r-1,3}|$, by Lemma 2.1, we have that $w_{r-1} = w_r$. Now the sequence $w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{r-1}, w_{r+1}, w_{r+2}, \dots, w_t$ with the decomposition as in (7), except for $w_{r-1} = w'_{r-2,1}w_{r-1,2}w'_{r-2,3} = w'_{r,1}w'_{r,2}w'_{r,3}$, is a shorter sequence with a decomposition of type (7), in contradiction with the minimality of t. Hence $|w'_{r-1,3}| - |w'_{r,3}| \geq n$.

Since $|w'_{0,3}| < n$, we have that $r > 1$. Let $l \in \{0, 1, \dots, r-2\}$ be the smallest value such that $|w'_{l+1,3}| - |w'_{r,3}| \geq n$. Since $0 < |w'_{j,3}| - |w'_{j-1,3}| < n$, for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, r-1$, there exists $u \in \mathrm{FM}_n$ such that $w'_{l+1,3} = uw'_{r,2}w'_{r,3}$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_l &= w'_{l-1,1}w_{l,2}w'_{l-1,3} = w'_{l,1}w'_{l,2}w'_{l,3}, \\ w_{l+1} &= w'_{l,1}w_{l+1,2}w'_{l,3} = (w'_{l+1,1}w'_{l+1,2}u)w'_{r,2}w'_{r,3}, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

(here, if $l = 0$, we put $w'_{l-1,1} = w_{0,1}$ and $w'_{l-1,3} = 1$). Since $0 < |w'_{l,3}| - |w'_{l-1,3}| < n$, we have that $w_{l,2}$ and $w'_{l,2}$ overlap in w_l. Since $w_{l+1,2}$ and $w'_{l+1,2}$ overlap in w_{l+1}, we have that $|w'_{l,3}| > |w'_{r,3}|$. By the choice of l, $|w'_{l,3}| - |w'_{r,3}| < n$. Hence $w_{l+1,2}$ and $w'_{r,2}$ overlap in w_{l+1}. Thus, applying Lemma 2.1 to (10), we obtain that $w_l = w_{l+1}$. Now the sequence $w_0, w_1, \dots, w_l, w_{l+2}, w_{l+3}, \dots, w_t$ with the decomposition as in (7), except for $w_l = w'_{l-1,1}w_{l,2}w'_{l-1,3} = w'_{l+1,1}w'_{l+1,2}w'_{l+1,3}$, is a shorter sequence with a decomposition of type (7), in contradiction with the minimality of t. Hence $|w'_{r,3}| > |w'_{r-1,3}|$. Therefore $|w'_{r,3}| - |w'_{r-1,3}| \geq n$.

Since $w_r = w'_{r-1,1}w_{r,2}w'_{r-1,3} = w'_{r,1}w'_{r,2}w'_{r,3}$, we also have that $|w'_{r-1,1}| = |w'_{r,3}| - |w'_{r-1,3}| + |w'_{r,1}| \geq |w'_{r,1}| + n$ and therefore

$$w'_{r-1,1} \in w'_{r,1}w'_{r,2}\mathrm{FM}_n. \tag{11}$$

Since $0 < |w'_{0,3}| < |w'_{1,3}| < \cdots < |w'_{r-1,3}|$ and

$$w_k = w'_{k-1,1}w_{k,2}w'_{k-1,3} = w'_{k,1}w'_{k,2}w'_{k,3},$$

for all $k = 1, 2, \dots, r-1$, we have that $|w'_{k-1,1}| > |w'_{k,1}|$ and thus $w'_{k-1,1} \in w'_{k,1}\mathrm{FM}_n$, for all $k = 1, 2, \dots, r-1$. Hence, for all $k = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$, $w'_{k,1} \in w'_{r-1,1}\mathrm{FM}_n$, and therefore from (11), there exist $v'_k \in \mathrm{FM}_n$ such that

$$w'_{k,1} = w'_{r,1}w'_{r,2}v'_k,$$

for all $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, r-1$.

Consider the following sequence:

$$\begin{aligned}
&w_0 = w_{0,1}w_{0,2} = w'_{r,1}w'_{r,2}(v'_0w'_{0,2}w'_{0,3}),\\
&w'_1 = w'_{r,1}w_{r+1,2}(v'_0w'_{0,2}w'_{0,3}) = (w'_{r,1}w_{r+1,2}v'_0)w'_{0,2}w'_{0,3},\\
&w'_2 = (w'_{r,1}w_{r+1,2}v'_0)w_{1,2}w'_{0,3} = (w'_{r,1}w_{r+1,2}v'_1)w'_{1,2}w'_{1,3},\\
&\ \vdots\\
&w'_{r-1} = (w'_{r,1}w_{r+1,2}v'_{r-3})w_{r-2,2}w'_{r-3,3} = (w'_{r,1}w_{r+1,2}v'_{r-2})w'_{r-2,2}w'_{r-2,3},\\
&w'_r = (w'_{r,1}w_{r+1,2}v'_{r-2})w_{r-1,2}w'_{r-2,3} = (w'_{r,1}w_{r+1,2}v'_{r-1})w'_{r-1,2}w'_{r-1,3},\\
&w'_{r+1} = (w'_{r,1}w_{r+1,2}v'_{r-1})w_{r,2}w'_{r-1,3}.
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Since $w_r = w'_{r-1,1}w_{r,2}w'_{r-1,3} = w'_{r,1}w'_{r,2}w'_{r,3}$ and $w'_{r-1,1} = w'_{r,1}w'_{r,2}v'_{r-1}$, we have that $w'_{r,3} = v'_{r-1}w_{r,2}w'_{r-1,3}$. Hence

$$w_{r+1} = w'_{r,1}w_{r+1,2}w'_{r,3} = w'_{r,1}w_{r+1,2}v'_{r-1}w_{r,2}w'_{r-1,3} = w'_{r+1}.$$

As $|w_{0,2}| = n \leq |v'_0w'_{0,2}w'_{0,3}|$ we know that $w'_1 \in \mathrm{FM}_n w_{0,2}$ and $\pi(w'_1) \in Sz$. Now the the sequence $w'_1, w'_2, \ldots, w'_r, w_{r+1}, w_{r+2}, \ldots, w_t$, with the decomposition (12) for $w'_1, w'_2, \ldots, w'_r$, the decomposition

$$w_{r+1} = (w'_{r,1}w_{r+1,2}v'_{r-1})w_{r,2}w'_{r-1,3} = w'_{r+1,1}w'_{r+1,2}w'_{r+1,3},$$

for w_{r+1} and the decomposition (7) for $w_{r+2}, \ldots, w_t$, is a shorter sequence with a decomposition of type (7). This is in contradiction with the minimality of t. Therefore the claim follows.

So we have that $0 < |w'_{0,3}| < |w'_{1,3}| < \cdots < |w'_{t-1,3}|$. Because $w_{t-1} = w'_{t-1,1}w'_{t-1,2}w'_{t-1,3}$ and $w_t = w'_{t-1,1}w_{t,2}w'_{t-1,3}$, we obtain that

$$x_{(t-1)(m+n-1)}x_{(t-1)(m+n)} = x_{(t)(m+n-1)}x_{(t)(m+n)}.$$

However, by (8), we know that $x_{(t-1)(m+n-1)}x_{(t-1)(m+n)} \in A$, while we also have that $x_{t(m+n-1)}x_{t(m+n)} \notin A$, a contradiction. Therefore part (*i*) follows.

Part (*ii*) follows by considering part (i) to the opposite monoid S^{opp}. ∎

3 Cancellativity of $S_n(H)$

Let H be a subgroup of Sym_n. For $S_n(H)$ to be cancellative, a necessary condition is that $H_1 = H_n = \{\mathrm{id}\}$. Hence, $S_n(\mathrm{Sym}_n)$ with $n \geq 3$, and $S_n(\mathrm{Alt}_n)$ with $n \geq 4$ are not cancellative. In [2] it is shown that $S_n(\langle(1, 2, \ldots, n)\rangle)$ is cancellative and has a group of fractions. We now prove that for H abelian, $H_1 = H_n = \{\mathrm{id}\}$ also is a sufficient condition for $S_n(H)$ to be cancellative. Note that if also $n \geq 3$ and $(1, 2, \ldots, n) \notin H$ then from Lemma 2.2, $S_n(H)z \cap S_n(H)x_1^2 = \emptyset$ and $x_1^2S_n(H) \cap zS_n(H) = \emptyset$. Therefore, for such H, $S_n(H)$ does not have a group of fractions.

Theorem 3.1 *Let H be an abelian subgroup of Sym_n, and let $S = S_n(H)$. Then S is cancellative if and only if $H_1 = H_n = \{\mathrm{id}\}$.*

Proof.

That the conditions $H_1 = H_n = \{\mathrm{id}\}$ are necessary has been mentioned above. For the converse, assume $H_1 = H_n = \{\mathrm{id}\}$. We shall prove that S is right cancellative. Then, as mentioned before, working with S^{opp}, the left cancellativity will follow. If $(1, 2, \dots, n) \in H$ then H is transitive. As H_1 is trivial, we then get that

$$n = |\{\sigma(1) \mid \sigma \in H\}| = |H|/|H_1| = |H|.$$

Hence $H = \langle (1, 2, \dots, n) \rangle$. Therefore, as mentioned above ([2, Theorem 2.2]) S is cancellative.

Thus we may assume that $(1, 2, \dots, n) \notin H$.

Suppose that S is not right cancellative. Then there exist $a, b \in S$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $a \neq b$ and $aa_i = ba_i$. Let $u, v \in \mathrm{FM}_n$ be such that $\pi(u) = a$ and $\pi(v) = b$. Since $aa_i = ba_i$, there exist $w_0, w_1, \dots, w_t \in \mathrm{FM}_n$ such that $w_0 = ux_i$, $w_t = vx_i$,

$$\pi(w_0) = \pi(w_1) = \cdots = \pi(w_t)$$

and there exist $w_{i,2} \in \mathrm{FM}_n$, for $i = 1, \dots, t$, and $w'_{j,1}, w'_{j,2}, w'_{j,3} \in \mathrm{FM}_n$, for $j = 0, 1, \dots, t-1$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} &w_0 = w'_{0,1} w'_{0,2} w'_{0,3}, \\ &w_k = w'_{k-1,1} w_{k,2} w'_{k-1,3} = w'_{k,1} w'_{k,2} w'_{k,3} \text{ , for } k = 1, 2, \dots, t-1, \\ &w_t = w'_{t-1,1} w_{t,2} w'_{t-1,3}, \\ &\pi(w_{i,2}) = \pi(w'_{j,2}) = z, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

for all $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, t$ and for all $j = 0, 1, \dots, t-1$.

We choose a sequence $w_0, w_1, \dots, w_t$, with a decomposition (13), such that $w_k = x_{k(1)} x_{k(2)} \cdots x_{k(m)}$, for all $k = 0, 1, \dots, t$,

$$\pi(x_{0(1)} \cdots x_{0(m-1)}) \neq \pi(x_{t(1)} \cdots x_{t(m-1)}) \quad \text{and} \quad x_{0(m)} = x_{t(m)},$$

with t minimal.

By the minimality of t, we have that $w'_{0,3} = 1$.

Suppose that $t = 1$. In this case, $w_0 = w'_{0,1} w'_{0,2}$ and $w_1 = w'_{0,1} w_{1,2}$, with $w'_{0,2} \neq w_{1,2}$. Since $\pi(w'_{0,2}) = \pi(w_{1,2}) = z$, there exist $\sigma, \tau \in H$ such that $\sigma \neq \tau$,

$$w'_{0,2} = x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)} \quad \text{and} \quad w_{1,2} = x_{\tau(1)} \cdots x_{\tau(n)}.$$

Since $x_{\sigma(n)} = x_{0(m)} = x_{t(m)} = x_{1(m)} = x_{\tau(n)}$, we have that $\mathrm{id} \neq \sigma^{-1}\tau \in H_n$. But this yields a contradiction as, by assumption, $H_n = \{\mathrm{id}\}$. Therefore $t > 1$.

Claim: $0 < |w'_{j,3}| - |w'_{j-1,3}| < n$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, t-1$.

Suppose that the claim is false. Let $r \in \{1, \dots, t-1\}$ be the smallest value such that either $|w'_{r,3}| \leq |w'_{r-1,3}|$ or $|w'_{r,3}| - |w'_{r-1,3}| \geq n$.

Suppose that $|w'_{r,3}| \leq |w'_{r-1,3}|$.

If $|w'_{r,3}| = |w'_{r-1,3}|$, then, since $w_r = w'_{r-1,1}w_{r,2}w'_{r-1,3} = w'_{r,1}w'_{r,2}w'_{r,3}$, we have that $w'_{r-1,3} = w'_{r,3}$ and $w'_{r-1,1} = w'_{r,1}$. Hence, in this case, the sequence $w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_{r-1}, w_{r+1}, w_{r+2}, \ldots, w_t$ is a shorter sequence with a decomposition of type (13), in contradiction with the minimality of t. Hence $|w'_{r,3}| < |w'_{r-1,3}|$. Since $w'_{0,3} = 1$, we have that $r > 1$.

If $|w'_{r-1,3}| - |w'_{r,3}| < n$, then $w_{r,2}$ and $w'_{r,2}$ overlap in w_r. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_{r-1} &= w'_{r-2,1}w_{r-1,2}w'_{r-2,3} = w'_{r-1,1}w'_{r-1,2}w'_{r-1,3}, \\ w_r &= w'_{r-1,1}w_{r,2}w'_{r-1,3} = w'_{r,1}w'_{r,2}w'_{r,3}. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Since $0 < |w'_{r-1,3}| - |w'_{r-2,3}| < n$, we have that $w_{r-1,2}$ and $w'_{r-1,2}$ overlap in w_{r-1}. Since $w_{r,2}$ and $w'_{r,2}$ also overlap in w_r and $|w'_{r-2,3}|, |w'_{r,3}| < |w'_{r-1,3}|$, we obtain from Lemma 2.1 that $w_{r-1} = w_r$. Now the sequence $w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_{r-1}, w_{r+1}, w_{r+2}, \ldots, w_t$ with the decomposition as in (13), except for

$$w_{r-1} = w'_{r-2,1}w_{r-1,2}w'_{r-2,3} = w'_{r,1}w'_{r,2}w'_{r,3},$$

is a shorter sequence with a decomposition of type (13), in contradiction with the minimality of t. Hence $|w'_{r-1,3}| - |w'_{r,3}| \geq n$.

Recall that $w'_{0,3} = 1$. Thus we have that $r > 1$. Let $l \in \{0, 1, \ldots, r-2\}$ be the smallest value such that $|w'_{l+1,3}| - |w'_{r,3}| \geq n$. Since $0 < |w'_{j,3}| - |w'_{j-1,3}| < n$, for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots, r-1$, there exists $u \in \mathrm{FM}_n$ such that $w'_{l+1,3} = uw'_{r,2}w'_{r,3}$. Since $0 < |w'_{1,3}| - |w'_{0,3}| < n$ and $w'_{0,3} = 1$, we have that $l > 0$. Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_l &= w'_{l-1,1}w_{l,2}w'_{l-1,3} = w'_{l,1}w'_{l,2}w'_{l,3}, \\ w_{l+1} &= w'_{l,1}w_{l+1,2}w'_{l,3} = (w'_{l+1,1}w'_{l+1,2}u)w'_{r,2}w'_{r,3}, \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Since $0 < |w'_{l,3}| - |w'_{l-1,3}| < n$, we have that $w_{l,2}$ and $w'_{l,2}$ overlap in w_l. Since $w_{l+1,2}$ and $w'_{l+1,2}$ overlap in w_{l+1}, we have that $|w'_{l,3}| > |w'_{r,3}|$. By the choice of l, $|w'_{l,3}| - |w'_{r,3}| < n$. Hence $w_{l+1,2}$ and $w'_{r,2}$ overlap in w_{l+1}. Thus, applying the Lemma 2.1 to (15), we obtain that $w_l = w_{l+1}$. Now the sequence $w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_l, w_{l+2}, w_{l+3}, \ldots, w_t$ with the decomposition as in (13), except for $w_l = w'_{l-1,1}w_{l,2}w'_{l-1,3} = w'_{l+1,1}w'_{l+1,2}w'_{l+1,3}$, is a shorter sequence with a decomposition of type (13), in contradiction with the minimality of t. Hence $|w'_{r,3}| > |w'_{r-1,3}|$. Therefore $|w'_{r,3}| - |w'_{r-1,3}| \geq n$.

Since $w_r = w'_{r-1,1}w_{r,2}w'_{r-1,3} = w'_{r,1}w'_{r,2}w'_{r,3}$, we thus have that $|w'_{r-1,1}| = |w'_{r,3}| - |w'_{r-1,3}| + |w'_{r,1}| \geq n + |w'_{r,1}|$ and therefore

$$w'_{r-1,1} \in w'_{r,1}w'_{r,2}\mathrm{FM}_n. \tag{16}$$

Since $0 < |w'_{0,3}| < |w'_{1,3}| < \cdots < |w'_{r-1,3}|$ and

$$w_k = w'_{k-1,1}w_{k,2}w'_{k-1,3} = w'_{k,1}w'_{k,2}w'_{k,3},$$

for all $k = 1, 2, \ldots, r-1$, we have that $w'_{k-1,1} \in w'_{k,1}\mathrm{FM}_n$, for all $k = 1, 2, \ldots, r-1$. Thus, from (16), for all $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, r-1$, there exists $v'_k \in \mathrm{FM}_n$ such that

$$w'_{k,1} = w'_{r,1}w'_{r,2}v'_k.$$

Consider the following sequence:

$$\begin{aligned}
&w_0 = w'_{r,1}w'_{r,2}(v'_0w'_{0,2}w'_{0,3}),\\
&w'_1 = w'_{r,1}w_{r+1,2}(v'_0w'_{0,2}w'_{0,3}) = (w'_{r,1}w_{r+1,2}v'_0)w'_{0,2}w'_{0,3},\\
&w'_2 = (w'_{r,1}w_{r+1,2}v'_0)w_{1,2}w'_{0,3} = (w'_{r,1}w_{r+1,2}v'_1)w'_{1,2}w'_{1,3},\\
&\vdots\\
&w'_{r-1} = (w'_{r,1}w_{r+1,2}v'_{r-3})w_{r-2,2}w'_{r-3,3} = (w'_{r,1}w_{r+1,2}v'_{r-2})w'_{r-2,2}w'_{r-2,3},\\
&w'_r = (w'_{r,1}w_{r+1,2}v'_{r-2})w_{r-1,2}w'_{r-2,3} = (w'_{r,1}w_{r+1,2}v'_{r-1})w'_{r-1,2}w'_{r-1,3},\\
&w'_{r+1} = (w'_{r,1}w_{r+1,2}v'_{r-1})w_{r,2}w'_{r-1,3}.
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Since $w_r = w'_{r-1,1}w_{r,2}w'_{r-1,3} = w'_{r,1}w'_{r,2}w'_{r,3}$ and $w'_{r-1,1} = w'_{r,1}w'_{r,2}v'_{r-1}$, we have that $w'_{r,3} = v'_{r-1}w_{r,2}w'_{r-1,3}$. Hence

$$w_{r+1} = w'_{r,1}w_{r+1,2}w'_{r,3} = w'_{r,1}w_{r+1,2}v'_{r-1}w_{r,2}w'_{r-1,3} = w'_{r+1}.$$

Note that if $w'_1 = x_{k_1} \cdots x_{k_m}$, then $x_{k_m} = x_{0(m)} = x_{t(m)}$ and

$$\pi(x_{k_1} \cdots x_{k_{m-1}}) = \pi(x_{0(1)} \cdots x_{0(m-1)}) \neq \pi(x_{t(1)} \cdots x_{t(m-1)}).$$

Now the sequence $w'_1, w'_2, \ldots, w'_r, w_{r+1}, w_{r+2}, \ldots, w_t$, with the decomposition (17) for $w'_1, w'_2, \ldots, w'_r$, the decomposition

$$w_{r+1} = (w'_{r,1}w_{r+1,2}v'_{r-1})w_{r,2}w'_{r-1,3} = w'_{r+1,1}w'_{r+1,2}w'_{r+1,3}$$

for w_{r-1}, and the decomposition (13) for $w_{r+2}, \ldots, w_t$, is a shorter sequence with a decomposition of type (13), in contradiction with the minimality of t. Therefore the claim follows.

In particular we have that $|w'_{j,3}| > 0$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, t-1$. Hence $x_{0(m)} = x_{t(m)} = x_{1(m)}$. Now, $w_0 = w'_{0,1}w'_{0,2}$ and $w_1 = w'_{0,1}w_{1,2}$, with $w'_{0,2} \neq w_{1,2}$, by the minimality of t. Since $\pi(w'_{0,2}) = \pi(w_{1,2}) = z$, there exist different $\sigma, \tau \in H$ such that

$$w'_{0,2} = x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)} \quad \text{and} \quad w_{1,2} = x_{\tau(1)} \cdots x_{\tau(n)}.$$

Since $x_{\sigma(n)} = x_{0(m)} = x_{t(m)} = x_{1(m)} = x_{\tau(n)}$, we have that $\mathrm{id} \neq \sigma^{-1}\tau \in H_n$. But this yields a contradiction as, by assumption, $H_n = \{\mathrm{id}\}$. Therefore S is right cancellative. ∎

4 The finitely presented algebra $K[S_n(H)]$

We begin with some properties of prime ideals.

Let H be a subgroup of Sym_n. Recall that $z = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in S_n(H)$. In [2] it is proved that if $H = \langle (1, 2, \ldots, n) \rangle$ and $n \geq 3$, then z is a central element of $S_n(H)$ and $zS_n(H)$ is a minimal prime ideal of $S_n(H)$.

We shall see that, for an arbitrary abelian subgroup H of Sym_n the behaviour is different. Indeed we show that $S_n(H)zS_n(H)$ is a prime ideal of $S_n(H)$, for $n \geq 3$, but it is not minimal in general.

First we shall see that $S_n(H)zS_n(H)$ is a prime ideal of $S_n(H)$ for an arbitrary non-transitive subgroup H of Sym_n.

Lemma 4.1 *If H is a non-transitive subgroup of* Sym_n*, then $S_n(H)zS_n(H)$ is a prime ideal of $S_n(H)$.*

Proof. Let $u, v \in S_n(H) \setminus S_n(H)zS_n(H)$. Since H is not transitive, there exist $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ such that $i \neq \sigma(1)$ and $j \neq \sigma(n)$, for all $\sigma \in H$. It is then clear that $ua_j^2 a_i^2 v \notin S_n(H)zS_n(H)$. Thus $S_n(H)zS_n(H)$ is prime. ∎

Recall that a subgroup H of Sym_n is semiregular if $H_i = \{\mathrm{id}\}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Lemma 4.2 *If H is an abelian subgroup of* Sym_n *and $S = S_n(H)$, with $n \geq 3$, then SzS is a prime ideal of S.*

Proof. Let $u, v \in S \setminus SzS$.

By Lemma 4.1, we may assume that H is a transitive subgroup of Sym_n. Because, by assumption, H is abelian, by [6, Proposition 3.2] we then have that H is semiregular. Therefore $n = |\{\sigma(1) \mid \sigma \in H\}| = |H|/|H_1| = |H|$. By the comment before the Lemma 4.1, we may assume that $(1, 2, \ldots, n) \notin H$. Let i, j be such that $u \in Sa_i \cup \{1\}$ and $v \in a_j S \cup \{1\}$. By Lemma 2.2, we have that $ua_i \notin Sz$ and $a_j v \notin zS$. Hence, since $n \geq 3$, we have that $ua_i^2 a_j^2 v \notin SzS$. Therefore SzS is a prime ideal of S and the lemma follows. ∎

Lemma 4.3 *Let H be a subgroup of* Sym_n *such that $H_2 = H_{n-1} = \{\mathrm{id}\}$, with $n \geq 3$. Then, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, there exist $1 \leq j, j' \leq n$ such that $j \neq i$, $j' \neq i$, $x_i x_j \notin A$ and $x_{j'} x_i \notin \tilde{A}$, where A and $\tilde{A}$ are defined in (5).*

Proof. Suppose that $\{x_i x_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq n,\ j \neq i\} \subseteq A$. Since $n \geq 3$, there exist $1 \leq j, k \leq n$ such that i, j, k are three different integers. Because $x_i x_j, x_i x_k \in A$, there exist $\sigma, \tau \in H$ such that $\sigma(n-1) = i = \tau(n-1)$, $\sigma(n) = j$ and $\tau(n) = k$. As $H_{n-1} = \{\mathrm{id}\}$ and $\sigma(n-1) = \tau(n-1)$, we have that $\sigma = \tau$. But this contradicts with $\sigma(n) = j \neq k = \tau(n)$. Therefore there exists $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $j \neq i$ and $x_i x_j \notin A$.

Similarly one proves that there exists $1 \leq j' \leq n$ such that $j' \neq i$ and $x_{j'} x_i \notin \tilde{A}$. ∎

Lemma 4.4 *Let H be a transitive subgroup of* Sym_n*, and let $S = S_n(H)$. Then $\cup_{i=1}^n S{a_i}^2 S$ is not a prime ideal in S.*

Proof. Let $Q = \cup_{i=1}^n S{a_i}^2 S$. Note that $z \notin Q$. However, since H is transitive, we have that $za_i \in Q$ for all i. Hence $zSz \subseteq Q$ and therefore Q is not prime. ∎

Now we shall see another general result on prime ideals of $S_n(H)$ for an arbitrary subset H of Sym_n.

Theorem 4.5 *Let H be a subset of* Sym_n*, and let K be a field. If Q is a prime ideal in $S_n(H)$ such that $S_n(H)zS_n(H) \subseteq Q$, then $K[S_n(H)]/K[Q]$ is a prime monomial algebra. Furthermore, if Q is finitely generated then $K[S_n(H)]/K[Q]$ is either PI or primitive.*

Proof. Let $S = S_n(H)$. Let $\mathrm{FM}_n = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ be the free monoid of rank n, and let $\pi\colon \mathrm{FM}_n \to S$ be the unique morphism such that $\pi(x_i) = a_i$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Note that

$$\pi^{-1}(SzS) = \mathrm{FM}_n x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n \mathrm{FM}_n \cup \bigcup_{\sigma \in H} \mathrm{FM}_n x_{\sigma(1)} x_{\sigma(2)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)} \mathrm{FM}_n.$$

Thus $\mathrm{FM}_n/\pi^{-1}(SzS) \cong S/SzS$ and $K[S]/K[SzS]$ is a monomial algebra. Since $SzS \subseteq Q$, we have that $S/Q \cong \mathrm{FM}_n/\pi^{-1}(Q)$. Hence $K[S]/K[Q]$ is a monomial algebra. Since Q is a prime ideal of S, by [5, Proposition 24.2], $K[S]/K[Q]$ is prime.

Suppose that Q is finitely generated. Then $K[S]/K[Q]$ is a finitely presented monomial algebra, and by [1, Theorem 1.2] this algebra is either PI or primitive. ∎

We have seen in Lemma 4.2 that, for an arbitrary abelian subgroup H of Sym_n, $S_n(H)zS_n(H)$ is a prime ideal of $S_n(H)$, for $n \geq 3$. The following result shows that it is not minimal in general.

Theorem 4.6 *Let $n \geq 3$. Let H be either a non-transitive subgroup of* Sym_n *or an abelian subgroup of* Sym_n*, such that $(1, 2, \ldots, n) \notin H$. Let $S = S_n(H)$ and let r be a positive integer.*

(i) If $m_1, \ldots, m_r \geq 3$ and $1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_r \leq n$, then $\cup_{j=1}^r S{a_{i_j}}^{m_j} S$ is a prime ideal in S.

(ii) For $m \geq 1$, $Sz^m S$ is a prime ideal in S.

(iii) If $m_1, \ldots, m_r \geq 3$, $1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_r \leq n$ and $m \geq 1$, then $(Sz^m S) \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^r S{a_{i_j}}^{m_j} S$ is a prime ideal in S.

Proof.

We rely on the fact that z never involves letters to a power ≥ 2.

(i) Let $Q = \cup_{j=1}^r S{a_{i_j}}^{m_j} S$. Let $w_1, w_2 \in S \setminus Q$. We shall see that $w_1 S w_2 \not\subseteq Q$. We may assume that $w_1 \neq 1$ and $w_2 \neq 1$.

Case(A): H is not transitive. Thus there exists l, with $1 \leq l < n$, such that l is not in the orbit of n, and there exists l', with $1 < l' \leq n$, such that l' is not in the orbit of 1. In the event that $l = l'$, let l'', with $1 \leq l'' \leq n$, be such that $l'' \neq l = l'$.

If $w_1 \in S \setminus Sa_l$, let $w_1' = w_1 a_l^2$. If $w_1 \in Sa_l \setminus Sa_l^2$, let $w_1' = w_1 a_l$. If $w_1 \in Sa_l^2$, let $w_1' = w_1$. If $w_2 \in S \setminus a_{l'} S$, let $w_2' = a_{l'}^2 w_2$. If $w_2 \in a_{l'} S \setminus a_{l'}^2 S$, let $w_2' = a_{l'} w_2$. If $w_2 \in a_{l'}^2 S$, let $w_2' = w_2$. In the event that $l \neq l'$, we have that $w_1' w_2' \notin Q$, otherwise $w_1' a_{l''} w_2' \notin Q$.

Case(B): H is an abelian subgroup of Sym_n, such that $(1, 2, \ldots, n) \notin H$.

In this case, we may assume that H is transitive. By [6, Proposition 3.2] we then have that H is semiregular. Thus $H_1 = H_2 = H_{n-1} = H_n = \{\mathrm{id}\}$.

Suppose that $w_1 \in Sa_k$ and $w_2 \in a_l S$. By Lemma 4.3, there exist j, j' with $1 \leq j, j' \leq n$ such that $j \neq k$, $j' \neq l$, $x_k x_j \notin A$ and $x_{j'} x_l \notin \tilde{A}$. If $j \neq j'$, then, by Lemma 2.2, $w_1 a_j^2 a_{j'}^2 w_2 \notin Q$. If $j = j'$, then by Lemma 2.2, $w_1 a_j a_{j'} w_2 \notin Q$.

(ii) Let $w_1, w_2 \in S \setminus Sz^m S$. We shall see that $w_1 S w_2 \not\subseteq Sz^m S$.

Case(A): H is not transitive. Let l, l' be as in the proof of (i). Then $w_1 a_l^2 a_{l'}^2 w_2 \notin Sz^m S$.

Case(B): H is an abelian subgroup of Sym_n, such that $(1, 2, \ldots, n) \notin H$. This is proved similarly as (i).

(iii) Let $Q = \cup_{j=1}^{r} S{a_{i_j}}^{m_j} S$. Let $w_1, w_2 \in S \setminus (Sz^m S \cup Q)$. By an argument similar to the one used in the proof of (i), one can prove that $w_1 S w_2 \not\subseteq Sz^m S \cup Q$.
∎

Corollary 4.7 *Let $n \geq 3$. Let H be either a non-transitive subgroup of Sym_n or an abelian subgroup of Sym_n, such that $(1, 2, \ldots, n) \notin H$. Let $S = S_n(H)$ and let K be a field. For $m_1, \ldots, m_r \geq 3$ and $1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_r \leq n$, let $Q = \cup_{j=1}^{r} S{a_{i_j}}^{m_j} S$. Then $K[S]/K[SzS \cup Q]$ is a primitive monomial algebra.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.6, $SzS \cup Q$ is a prime ideal of S. Hence by Theorem 4.5, $K[S]/K[SzS \cup Q]$ is a finitely presented and prime monomial algebra, and by [1, Theorem 1.2], it is either PI or primitive. Note that the submonoid $\langle a_1 a_2, a_1 a_3 \rangle$ of S is a free monoid of rank two and

$$\langle a_1 a_2, a_1 a_3 \rangle \cap (SzS \cup Q) = \emptyset.$$

Hence $K[S]/K[SzS \cup Q]$ is not PI. Therefore $K[S]/K[SzS \cup Q]$ is primitive. ∎

Although it is well-known that in a commutative semigroup the union of prime ideals is prime, this is not true for noncommutative semigroups. Thus part (iii) of Theorem 4.6 is not a trivial consequence of parts (i) and (ii). In fact we have the following result.

Proposition 4.8 *Let H be a transitive abelian subgroup of Sym_n, with $n > 2$, such that $(1, 2, ..., n) \notin H$. Let $S = S_n(H)$. Then there exist prime ideals P, Q in S such that $P \cup Q$ is not a prime ideal in S.*

Proof. Let $P_i = Sa_i^2S$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$. By Lemma 4.4, $\cup_{i=1}^n P_i$ is not a prime ideal in S. We shall prove that each P_i is a prime ideal in S.

Let $w_1, w_2 \in S \setminus P_i$. We shall see that $w_1Sw_2 \not\subseteq P_i$. We may assume that $w_1 \neq 1$ and $w_2 \neq 1$. Suppose that $w_1 \in Sa_k$ and $w_2 \in a_lS$. Since H is transitive and abelian, by [6, Proposition 3.2], H is semiregular. Thus by Lemma 4.3, there exist j, j', with $1 \leq j, j' \leq n$, sucht that $k \neq j$, $l \neq j'$, $x_kx_j \notin A$ and $x_{j'}x_l \notin \tilde{A}$. If $j \neq i$ and $j' \neq i$, then $w_1a_j^2a_{j'}^2w_2 \notin P_i$, by Lemma 2.2. If $j \neq i$ and $j' = i$, then $l \neq i$ and $w_1a_j^2a_l^2w_2 \notin P_i$, by Lemma 2.2. If $j = i$ and $j' \neq i$, then $k \neq i$ and $w_1a_k^2a_{j'}^2w_2 \notin P_i$, by Lemma 2.2. If $j = i = j'$, then $k \neq i$, $l \neq i$ and $w_1a_k^2a_l^2w_2 \notin P_i$, by Lemma 2.2. Therefore the result follows. ▮

We finish with handling the Jacobson radical of $K[S_n(H)$ for H abelian.

In [3, Corollary 2.2] it is proved that if H is an arbitrary subgroup of Sym_n and the Jacobson radical $J(K[S_n(H)]) \neq \{0\}$, then H is a transitive subgroup of Sym_n.

In [2] it is proved that if $H = \langle(1, 2, \ldots, n)\rangle$ then $J(K[S_n(H)]) = \{0\}$. Now we generalize this result for any abelian subgroup H of Sym_n.

Recall that if $\alpha = \sum_{s \in S_n(H)} k_s s$, with $k_s \in K$, then by $\mathrm{supp}(\alpha)$ one denotes the support of α. That is, $\mathrm{supp}(\alpha) = \{s \in S \mid k_s \neq 0\}$.

Theorem 4.9 *If H is an abelian subgroup of Sym_n then $J(K[S_n(H)]) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Suppose H is an abelian subgroup of Sym_n. Let $S = S_n(H)$. Note that for $n \leq 2$, $K[S]$ is either a polynomial algebra over K or a free algebra over K. Thus we may assume that $n \geq 3$.

We prove the result by contradiction. So, assume $0 \neq \alpha = \sum_{s \in S} k_s s \in J(K[S_n(H)])$, with each $k_s \in K$. Hence, by the comments before the Theorem, $H \neq \langle(1, 2, \ldots, n)\rangle$ and H is a transitive abelian subgroup of Sym_n. Thus, as mentioned before ([6, Proposition 3.2]), H is semiregular. Therefore $n = |\{\sigma(1) \mid \sigma \in H\}| = |H|/|H_1| = |H|$. So, $(1, 2, \ldots, n) \notin H$. Now, since $n \geq 3$, from [3, Proposition 2.6], we know that $J(K[S]) \subseteq [Sz \cup zS]$. Let $w \in \mathrm{supp}(\alpha)$. Then $w \in Sz \cup zS$ and $w \in a_iS \cap Sa_j$, for some i, j. By Lemma 2.2, $a_iwa_j \notin Sz \cup zS$. Since $a_i\alpha a_j \in J(K[S])$, there exists $w' \in \mathrm{supp}(\alpha)$ such that $w \neq w'$ and $a_iwa_j = a_iw'a_j$. However, from Theorem 3.1 we know that S is cancellative, and thus $w = w'$, a contradiction. ▮

References

[1] J.P. Bell, P. Colak, Primitivity of finitely presented monomia algebras, J. Pure App. Algebra 213 (2009), 1299–1305.

[2] F. Cedó, E. Jespers and J. Okniński, Finitely presented algebras and groups defined by permutation relations, J. Pure App. Algebra 214 (2010), 1095–1102.

[3] F. Cedó, E. Jespers and J. Okniński, Algebras and groups defined by permutation relations of alternating type, preprint.

[4] F. Cedó, E. Jespers and J. Okniński, The radical of the four generated algebra of the alternating type, Contemporary Math 499 (2009), 1–26.

[5] J. Okniński, Semigroup Algebras, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1991.

[6] D. S. Passman, *Permutation Groups*, Benjamin, New York, 1968.

F. Cedó
Departament de Matemàtiques
Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona
08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain
cedo@mat.uab.cat

E. Jespers
Department of Mathematics
Vrije Universiteit Brussel
Pleinlaan 2, 1050 Brussel, Belgium
efjesper@vub.ac.be

G. Klein
Department of Mathematics
Vrije Universiteit Brussel
Pleinlaan 2, 1050 Brussel, Belgium
gklein@vub.ac.be